

Greater China — Week in Review

24 June 2024

Highlights: Potential change of China's monetary policy framework

China's equity market extended its loss last week as the sentiment was weighed down further by tax news. Several listed companies have recently disclosed in filings with stock exchanges that they have received government notices requiring them to pay additional taxes ranging from tens of millions to several hundred million yuan. They have also warned investors that this may impact the companies' earnings, further weighing down market sentiment.

To address external doubts and concerns, the State Administration of Taxation of China clarified that it has not organized any nationwide, industry-specific, or centralized tax inspections, nor are there plans to conduct retroactive inspections covering 20 or 30 years. Nevertheless, this clarification failed to calm the market, especially after photos surfaced showing the establishment of The Police-Tax Joint Operations Center at the local level.

PBoC Governor Pan Gongsheng shed light on the future framework of China's monetary policy, outlining five main points: optimizing intermediate variables in monetary policy regulation, further improving market-based interest rate regulation mechanisms, gradually incorporating secondary market government bond transactions into the monetary policy toolkit, enhancing a targeted and moderate structural monetary policy tool system, and increasing monetary policy transparency. The discussion about PBoC's purchase of bonds in the secondary market has dominated headlines, sparking speculation about quantitative easing (QE). However, we believe the media has likely overemphasized this aspect.

Instead, we believe the most important hint from Governor Pan is the potential change in the main policy rate.

The 1-year Medium Lending Facility (MLF) has become China's key policy rate since 2019 after China discontinued benchmark lending rates and introduced the Loan Prime Rate (LPR), which became the key reference rate for bank loans.

However, the MLF has its own problems. As mentioned by the PBoC in its monetary policy report, there is no obvious link between the MLF rate and key short-term interest rates such as the 7-day Open Market Operation (OMO) rate.

Recently, this problem has been amplified as the MLF is increasingly misaligned with market-traded rates. For example, the 1-year Negotiable Certificate of Deposit (NCD) yield is much lower than the 1-year MLF rate, which has limited bank demand for the MLF. The net withdrawal of liquidity from the MLF was not the result of changing central bank policy but weaker demand from banks, which risks sending the wrong signal to the market. This issue also occurred with the Pledged Supplementary Lending (PSL). Given that the 5-year policy bank bond

Tommy Xie Dongming Head of Greater China Research XieD@ocbc.com

Keung Ching (Cindy) Greater China economist cindyckeung@ocbc.com



yield is lower than PSL borrowing costs, demand for PSL has declined dramatically, leading to a reduction in PSL outstanding.

The recent misalignment between PBoC policy rates, such as the MLF rate and PSL rate, and market rates has led to a shrinkage of the central bank balance sheet, complicating China's deflation fight and weakening central bank policy communication effectiveness.

These recent challenges pave the way for the PBoC to reoptimize its policy rate. In the future, consideration could be given to clarifying a specific short-term operating interest rate as the main policy rate. With the support of the interest rate corridor, market benchmark rates are guided to operate around the policy rate. These rates are then transmitted through the banking system to influence loan rates.

Since 2015, China has gradually established an interest rate corridor mechanism, with the upper limit defined by the 7-day Standing Lending Facility (SLF) rate and the lower limit defined by the excess reserve rate. PBoC Governor Pan suggested that it may also be necessary to appropriately narrow the width of the interest rate corridor, hinting that China may increase the interest rate paid on excess reserves.

In addition, China may also redefine its M1 calculation after the M1 growth fell to the record low in May. According to Governor Pan personal demand deposits and some highly liquid financial products with direct payment functions should be considered for inclusion in M1 statistics to better reflect the true state of the money supply from the perspective of monetary functions.

With China's move towards studying the possible change of monetary policy framework, China's macro policy is expected to be primarily driven by fiscal policy, with monetary policy playing a supportive role. Compared to interest rate cuts, reserve requirement ratio (RRR) reductions are likely to be used more frequently to complement fiscal policy.

Hong Kong's labour market softened further, in light of the weak domestic demand. Unemployment rates in most of the sectors edged up lately, while overall unemployment rate had been kept at a relatively low level of 3.0% in three-month ending May 2024. Meanwhile, underemployment rate rose by 0.1 percentage point to 1.2%. Specifically, unemployment rates in "retail, accommodation and food services" and "import/export trade and wholesale" sectors rose the most against recent low, up by 0.9 and 0.8 percentage points respectively. Further softening of labour market is likely to pile more weight on domestic demand and the unemployment rate may have more room to climb later this year.

High frequency market data suggested that the recent rebound in housing prices was short lived and all its gain had been completely erased, as sentiment turned south again amid tightened mortgage policies, competitive pricing strategy for newly launched projects and elevated mortgage rate.

Local commercial banks had quietly tightened mortgage policies, including reducing cash rebate, increasing scrutinization of mortgage applications and

Follow our podcasts by searching 'OCBC Research Insights' on Telegram!



raising mortgage rate on specific type of residential units, over concerns for asset quality deterioration during the property market down cycle. On the other hand, developers have accelerated the launch of new projects, with some offering additional incentives on top of existing concessions, which was seen as a vote of non-confidence.

As a result, we have revised downward the full year forecast for housing prices. We now expect the price index to fall by 3-6%. That said, the increased housing demand from talent inflows and rally in rental index should still render some support to the overall sentiment.



 the future framework of China's monetary policy, outlining five main points: optimizing intermediate variables in monetary policy regulation, further improving market-based interest rate regulation mechanisms, gradually incorporating secondary market government bond transactions into the monetary policy toolkit, enhancing a targeted and moderate structural monetary policy tool system, and increasing monetary policy tool system. Instead, we believe the most important hint from Governor Pathe potential change in the main policy rate. Currently, n investors consider the 1-year Medium-term Lending Facility (N rate as China's key policy rate, although China has a variety of p interest rates and the relationships between different mone policy tools are quite complex. The MLF has become China's key policy rate since 2019 after Cl discontinued benchmark lending rates and introduced the L Prime Rate (LPR), which became the key reference rate for to loans. As the LPR is a function of the MLF rate, the MLF became de facto benchmark rate. However, the MLF has list own problems. As mentioned by the P in its monetary policy rooles are which has limited bank demand for the MLF. Tate which has limited bank demand from the MLF and sever MLF rate, which has limited bank demand from the MLF. The withdrawal of liquidity from the MLF was not the result changing central bank policy tow weaker demand from banks, wrisks sending the wrong signal to the market. This issue also occul. 	Key events		
 PBoC Governor Pan Gongsheng shed light on the future framework of China's monetary policy, outlining five main points: optimizing intermediate variables in monetary policy regulation, further improving market-based interest rate regulation mechanisms, gradually incorporating secondary market government bond transactions into the monetary policy toolkit, enhancing a targeted and moderate structural monetary policy tool system, and increasing monetary policy transparency. Instead, we believe the most important hint from Governor Pa the potential change in the main policy rate. Currently, no investors consider the 1-year Medium-term Lending Facility (N rate as China's key policy rate, although China has a variety of printerest rates and the relationships between different mone policy tools are quite complex. The MLF has become China's key policy rate since 2019 after Cl discontinued benchmark lending rates and introduced the L Prime Rate (LPR), which became the key reference rate for b loans. As the LPR is a function of the MLF rate, the MLF became MLF rate and key short-term interest rates such as the 7-day O Market Operation (OMO) rate. Recently, this problem has been amplified as the MLF is increasin misaligned with market-traded rates. For example, the 1-year MLF rate, which has limited bank demand for the MLF. Net work weaker demand from banks, w risks sending the wrong signal to the market. This issue also occur 	Facts	OCBC Opinions	
 policy bank bond yield is lower than PSL borrowing costs, dem for PSL has declined dramatically, leading to a reduction in outstanding. The recent misalignment between PBoC policy rates, such as the l rate and PSL rate, and market rates has led to a shrinkage of central bank balance sheet, complicating China's deflation fight weakening central bank policy communication effectiveness. These recent challenges pave the way for the PBoC to reoptimize policy rate. In the future, consideration could be given to clarifyin specific short-term operating interest rate as the main policy rate present, the 7-day reverse repo rate has largely assumed 	 PBoC Governor Pan Gongsheng shed light on the future framework of China's monetary policy, outlining five main points: optimizing intermediate variables in monetary policy regulation, further improving market-based interest rate regulation mechanisms, gradually incorporating secondary market government bond transactions into the monetary policy toolkit, enhancing a targeted and moderate structural monetary policy tool system, and increasing monetary policy 	 OCBC Opinions The discussion about PBoC's purchase of bonds in the secondar market has dominated headlines, sparking speculation abou quantitative easing (QE). However, we believe the media has likel overemphasized this aspect. As China's financial markets rapidly develop, the scale and depth of the bond market have progressively increased, making it increasingl feasible for the central bank to inject base money by buying an selling government bonds in the secondary market. The inclusion of government bond transactions in the monetary policy toolkit doe not imply a move towards QE but rather positions it as a channel fo injecting base money and a tool for liquidity management. Instead, we believe the most important hint from Governor Pan i the potential change in the main policy rate. Currently, mos investors consider the 1-year Medium-term Lending Facility (MLF rate as China's key policy rate, although China has a variety of polic interest rates and the relationships between different monetar policy tools are quite complex. The MLF has become China's key policy rate since 2019 after Chin discontinued benchmark lending rates and introduced the Loa Prime Rate (LPR), which became the key reference rate for ban loans. As the LPR is a function of the MLF rate, the MLF became th de facto benchmark rate. However, the MLF has its own problems. As mentioned by the PBoo in its monetary policy report, there is no obvious link between th MLF rate and key short-term interest rates such as the 7-day Ope Market Operation (OMO) rate. Recently, this problem has been amplified as the MLF is increasingl misaligned with market-traded rates. For example, the 1-year Negotiable Certificate of Deposit (NCD) yield is much lower than th 1-year MLF rate, which has limited bank demand for the MLF. Th net withdrawal of liquidity from the MLF was not the result of changing central bank policy but weaker demand from banks, whic risks sending the wrong signal to the market. This	

Since 2015, China has gradually established an interest rate corridor



mechanism, with the upper limit defined by the 7-day Standing Lending Facility (SLF) rate and the lower limit defined by the excess reserve rate. PBoC Governor Pan suggested that it may also be necessary to appropriately narrow the width of the interest rate corridor, hinting that China may increase the interest rate paid on excess reserves.
 In addition, China's M1 statistical definition was established 30 years ago. With the rapid development of financial services, financial markets, and innovations like mobile payments, the categories of financial products that meet the definitions of monetary supply, particularly M1, have significantly evolved. It is essential to consider dynamically updating the statistical definition of monetary supply. Personal demand deposits and some highly liquid financial products with direct payment functions should be considered for inclusion in M1 statistics to better reflect the true state of the money supply from the perspective of monetary functions.
nom the perspective of monetary functions.



Key Economic News		
Facts	OCBC Opinions	
 Hong Kong's labour market softened further, in light of the weak domestic demand. Unemployment rates in most of the sectors edged up lately, while overall unemployment rate had been kept at a relatively low level of 3.0% in three-month ending May 2024. Meanwhile, underemployment rate rose by 0.1 percentage point to 1.2%. 	 Specifically, unemployment rates in "retail, accommodation and food services" and "import/export trade and wholesale" sectors rose the most against recent low, up by 0.9 and 0.8 percentage points respectively. Further softening of labour market is likely to pile more weight on domestic demand and the unemployment rate may have more room to climb later this year. Amid the ongoing top talents and labour importation schemes, rebound in labour force largely sustained notwithstanding some short-term fluctuations. Compared with the recent low in mid-2022, the labour force grew by 67,900 to 3,813,100 in March-May 2024. In parallel, total employed person increased considerably by 145,500 to 3,696,900 against the recent low, hence keeping the labour market still at the tight side. 	
 Hong Kong: High frequency market data suggested that the recent rebound in housing prices was short lived and all its gain had been completely erased, as sentiment turned south again amid tightened mortgage policies, competitive pricing strategy for newly launched projects and elevated mortgage rate. 	 Local commercial banks had quietly tightened mortgage policies, including reducing cash rebate, increasing scrutinization of mortgage applications and raising mortgage rate on specific type of residential units, over concerns for asset quality deterioration during the property market down cycle. On the other hand, developers have accelerated the launch of new projects, with some offering additional incentives on top of existing concessions, which was seen as a vote of non-confidence. As a result, we have revised downward the full year forecast for housing prices. We now expect the price index to fall by 3-6%. That said, the increased housing demand from talent inflows and rally in rental index should still render some support to the overall sentiment. 	



Macro Research

Selena Ling Head of Strategy & Research LingSSSelena@ocbc.com

Herbert Wong Hong Kong & Macau Economist herberthtwong@ocbc.com Tommy Xie Dongming Head of Greater China Research XieD@ocbc.com

Lavanya Venkateswaran Senior ASEAN Economist lavanyavenkateswaran@ocbc.com Keung Ching (Cindy) Hong Kong & Macau Economist cindyckeung@ocbc.com

Ahmad A Enver ASEAN Economist ahmad.enver@ocbc.com

Jonathan Ng ASEAN Economist JonathanNg4@ocbc.com Ong Shu Yi ESG ShuyiOng1@ocbc.com

FX/Rates Strategy

Frances Cheung, CFA Rates Strategist FrancesCheung@ocbc.com Christopher Wong FX Strategist christopherwong@ocbc.com

Credit Research

Andrew Wong Credit Research Analyst WongVKAM@ocbc.com

Chin Meng Tee Credit Research Analyst MengTeeChin@ocbc.com Ezien Hoo Credit Research Analyst EzienHoo@ocbc.com Wong Hong Wei Credit Research Analyst WongHongWei@ocbc.com



This publication is solely for information purposes only and may not be published, circulated, reproduced or distributed in whole or in part to any other person without our prior written consent. This publication should not be construed as an offer or solicitation for the subscription, purchase or sale of the securities/instruments mentioned herein. Any forecast on the economy, stock market, bond market and economic trends of the markets provided is not necessarily indicative of the future or likely performance of the securities/instruments. Whilst the information contained herein has been compiled from sources believed to be reliable and we have taken all reasonable care to ensure that the information contained in this publication is not untrue or misleading at the time of publication, we cannot guarantee and we make no representation as to its accuracy or completeness, and you should not act on it without first independently verifying its contents. The securities/instruments mentioned in this publication may not be suitable for investment by all investors. Any opinion or estimate contained in this report is subject to change without notice. We have not given any consideration to and we have not made any investigation of the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of the recipient or any class of persons, and accordingly, no warranty whatsoever is given and no liability whatsoever is accepted for any loss arising whether directly or indirectly as a result of the recipient or any class of persons acting on such information or opinion or estimate. This publication may cover a wide range of topics ad is not intended to be a comprehensive study or to provide any recommendation or advice on personal investing or financial planning. Accordingly, they should not be relied on or treated as a substitute for specific advice concerning individual situations. Please seek advice from a financial adviser regarding the suitability of any investment product taking into account your specific investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs before you make a commitment to purchase the investment product. OCBC Bank, its related companies, their respective directors and/or employees (collectively "Related Persons") may or might have in the future interests in the investment products or the issuers mentioned herein. Such interests include effecting transactions in such investment products, and providing broking, investment banking and other financial services to such issuers. OCBC Bank and its Related Persons may also be related to, and receive fees from, providers of such investment products. This report is intended for your sole use and information. By accepting this report, you agree that you shall not share, communicate, distribute, deliver a copy of or otherwise disclose in any way all or any part of this report or any information contained herein (such report, part thereof and information, "Relevant Materials") to any person or entity (including, without limitation, any overseas office, affiliate, parent entity, subsidiary entity or related entity) (any such person or entity, a "Relevant Entity") in breach of any law, rule, regulation, guidance or similar. In particular, you agree not to share, communicate, distribute, deliver or otherwise disclose any Relevant Materials to any Relevant Entity that is subject to the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2014/65/EU) ("MiFID") and the EU's Markets in Financial Instruments Regulation (600/2014) ("MiFIR") (together referred to as "MiFID II"), or any part thereof, as implemented in any jurisdiction. No member of the OCBC Group shall be liable or responsible for the compliance by you or any Relevant Entity with any law, rule, regulation, guidance or similar (including, without limitation, MiFID II, as implemented in any jurisdiction).

Co.Reg.no.: 193200032W